

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

TAYLOR R. BRYANT,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

CASE NO. 1:24-CV-926

JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON

**MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND
ORDER**

[Regarding [ECF No. 12](#)]

On May 5, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation suggesting that the Court vacate and remand the Commissioner’s decision denying Plaintiff’s applications for Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”) pursuant to [42 U.S.C. § 405\(g\)](#) sentence four. *See* R. & R. ([ECF No. 12](#)).

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. *Id.*; [Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#). Failure to object within this time waives a party’s right to appeal the district court’s judgment. [Thomas v. Arn](#), 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); [United States v. Walters](#), 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981). Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge’s report without review. *See* [Thomas](#), 474 U.S. at 149.

(1:24-CV-926)

In the instant case, objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by May 19, 2025. None of the parties have filed any objections.¹ Accordingly, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 12](#). The Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff SSI is vacated and remanded pursuant to [42 U.S.C. § 405\(g\)](#) sentence four.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

May 21, 2025

Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson

Benita Y. Pearson

United States District Judge

¹ The Commissioner of Social Security responded to the R & R, declaring that no objection would be filed. *See* Def.'s Response to Magistrate Judge's R & R ([ECF No. 13](#)).